

THE EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT FEEDING CONDITIONS ON PERFORMANCE AND CARCASS CHARACTERISTICS OF PEKIN, LOCAL, AND CROSSBRED DUCKS

by Aam Gunawan

Submission date: 28-May-2023 08:21AM (UTC+0500)

Submission ID: 2103388452

File name: carcass_characteristics_of_pekin,_local_and_crossbred_ducks.pdf (217.07K)

Word count: 4238

Character count: 21368

THE EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT FEEDING CONDITIONS ON PERFORMANCE AND CARCASS CHARACTERISTICS OF PEKIN, LOCAL, AND CROSSBRED DUCKS

Aam GUNAWAN¹, Abdul MALIK¹, Siti DHARMAWATI¹, Dwi KARTIKA¹, Nisa WULANDARI¹, and SAPRANI²

¹Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Islamic University of Kalimantan, Muhammad Arsyad Al Banjari, Banjarmasin, South Kalimantan, Indonesia

²Balai Pembibitan Ternak Unggul dan Hijauan Pakan Ternak Pelaihari, South Kalimantan, Indonesia

^{*}Email: aamgunawan@yahoo.com

[†]Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The objective of the study was to investigate performance and carcass quality of Pekin, local, and cross-breed ducks raised under different feeding (varied in protein and fiber levels). A total of 180 male ducks aged 14 days, consisting of 60 Pekin ducks, 60 local ducks (Mojosari), and 60 cross-breed (Mojosari + Alabio) ducks were used in the study. Each type of duck was randomly divided into 36 units of cages, each of which was filled with 5 ducks. The data obtained from the study were analyzed according to a completely randomized design with 2×3 factorial pattern. Initial body weight of local ducks at 14 days was significantly ($P<0.01$) lower than that of Pekin and cross-breed ducks, while, Pekin ducks were higher than the other two types of ducks. On the other hand, feed consumption was significantly ($P<0.01$) influenced by the type of diet and breed. While carcass percentage was significantly ($P<0.01$) influenced by breed and diet types. The percentage of Pekin duck carcasses that received diet A (low protein and fiber) was significantly different ($P<0.05$) from cross-breed ducks, in comparison to local ducks. In conclusion the feed conversion rate and final body weight for diet A showed better results than diet B (high protein and high fiber) on the Pekin duck. Meanwhile, the carcass characteristics like abdominal fat of diet B (for Pekin, local duck, and cross breed) were better than diet A (for Pekin and local duck). It's suggested to use Pekin ducks with low protein and low fiber diet to get the better performance, in compared with local ducks which needs high protein and high fiber content in diet.

Keywords: Carcass characteristics, Cross-bred birds, Nutrients, Pekin Duck, Performance.

INTRODUCTION

Duck meat is very liked by almost all levels of society because it has a distinctive taste, and a higher fat content than chicken meat (Ali et al., 2007; Qiao et al., 2017). Pekin ducks are good type of duck and have several advantages including large size, fast growth, weight, and good carcass quality (Bugiwati et al., 2021). One of the local duck origins in Indonesia is Mojosari Alabio ducks mostly originate from cross-breeding of male Mojosari (*Anas javanica*) and female Alabio (*Anas platyrhynchos Borneo*). Mojosari Alabio male duck is a local duck that has a good daily weight gain (Subhan et al., 2022).

In general, broiler ducks are kept intensively fed a complete commercial feed in the form of granules or pellets (Fair, 2008). This maintenance pattern is relatively expensive, so it can increase production costs and reduce profits. Therefore, producers constantly seek cheaper ways to feed the duck. On the other hand, the demand for world duck meat production tends to increase, therefore knowledge of nutrition is needed for feed formulation to enable better meat production (Fouad et al., 2018).

Parameters of duck meat and quality of carcass depend on performance factors, including sex of birds and age, genotype, a system of management, and type of feed (Rahman et al., 2014; Smith et al., 2015). Furthermore, Ali et al. (2008), Zdanowska-Sąsiadek et al. (2013), and Naveen et al. (2016) reported that the qualities of meat duck also depend on the handled before and rearing period, during, and post-slaughter including the condition of meat storage. Meanwhile, the nature of the meat depends on the feed during the rearing period, handling before and during slaughter, and the storage conditions of the meat (Nurkhoeriyati et al., 2012; Zdanowska-Sąsiadek et al., 2013; Naveen et al., 2016). Furthermore, feeding a balanced-diet is a key factor for the growth and development of local ducks, especially protein requirements (Kuzniacka et al., 2014; Udayana et al., 2020).

Determining the nutritional needs of different types of ducks is very important for the efficient use of feeds (Fouad et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2019). In addition, comparing the performance and characteristics of carcasses with various nutritional profiles can provide important information for the progress of duck farmers. However, this data is restricted to the duck (Fouad et al., 2018). The objective of the research was to evaluate of performance and carcass characteristics of Pekin Ducks, local ducks, and the cross between Pekin with local ducks raised under different feeding formulates.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

PII: S222877012300020-13

Received: October 26, 2022

Revised: March 25, 2023

Accepted: March 26, 2023

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental design

A total of 180 male ducks aged 14 days, consisting of 60 Pekin ducks, 60 local ducks called Mojosari, and 60 cross-breed ducks (Mojosari ducks with Alabio duck) were used in the study. Each group of breed were divided 12 cage. every cage consisted 5 ducks. Cages measuring 100 x 50 x 70 cm are equipped with a place to feed and drink. The cage floor was made from iron and designed using a flat system. Feed and water were offered ad-libitum throughout the experiment period of 28 days (14 to 42 days of age). The basal diet was formulated to the nutrient requirements of feed based on local plants as an energy source broiler according to NRC (1994). The design used was a completely randomized design with 6 replications. The treatments given were Diet A and Diet B which were given to three species of ducks, namely Pekin ducks, local ducks, and crossbred ducks. The composition and contain nutrients from experimental diets are shown in table 1.

Table 1 - Composition of feed for duck

Type of diet	Crude Protein (%)	Crude Fiber (%)	Crude fat (%)	Ca (%)	P (%)	ME (Kcal/kg)
Diet A	22.00	5.00	5.00	0.95	0.60	3,000
Diet B	23.00	13.67	5.01	3.41	0.79	2,327

Diet A: Feed composition consist of yellow corn, soybean meal, meat bone meal, corn gluten meal, palm oil, amino acid essential, mineral essential, premix and vitamin. Diet B: Feed composition consist of corn, rice bran, bran pollard, dried distillers grains with soluble (DDGS), soybean meal, palm kernel meal, flour binder, shrimp meal, sago, crude palm oil, limestone, amino acid essential, premix vitamin, premix mineral, mono-calcium phosphate (MCP), and enzyme.

Parameters of study

Ducks and feed were weighted based on parameters including initial and final body weight (BW), the gain of weight, feed intake (FI), and feed conversion ratio per kg of body weight gain, carcass qualities were measured. Recording of growth performance from the ducks was assessed by recording BW, weight gain, feed consumption, and feed conversion ratio (FCR) during the study. Body weight was measured weekly, and feed consumed on a per pen basis was recorded daily. After 28 days of rearing, 12 birds (2 from each group), with body weight close to the mean for the whole flock, were slaughtered for carcass and meat quality analysis. Then the carcass was removed manually and divided into pieces such as chest, leg wings, neck, and belly fat for analysis. All processes parameters adopted from Wang et al. (2017) and Kokoszynski et al. (2020).

Statistical analysis

The data obtained from the study were analyzed for variance using the GLM procedure from SPSS version 24 according to a completely randomized design with 2x3 factorial pattern. The standard error of measurement (SEM) was calculated. If the results of the F test show a significant or very significant difference, then the analysis is continued with the Tukey test at $P < 0.01$ (Steel and Torrie, 1982).

Local approval

This study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee in Faculty of Agriculture, Islamic University of Kalimantan with number 003/U.CC/FP/IV/21.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Performances

The growth performance of the Pekin ducks, local ducks, and cross-breed ducks fed diets A and B were presented in Table 2. The initial body weight of local ducks at 14 days was significantly different ($P < 0.01$) lower than that of Pekin and cross-breed ducks, while, Pekin ducks were higher than the other two types of ducks. On the other hand, feed consumption was significantly ($P < 0.01$) influenced by the type of diet and breed. Then, diet type and breed type were very significant ($P < 0.01$) in influencing weight of gain and final body weight. The interaction was very significant ($P < 0.01$) between diet type and breed type on body weight gain and final body weight. The Pekin ducks fed diet A significantly ($P < 0.05$) had higher body weight gain than Pekin ducks fed diet B. Likewise, local and cross-breed ducks that received diet A significantly ($P < 0.05$) had higher body weight gain than local and cross-breed ducks that received diet B. The final body weight of Pekin ducks fed diet A was significantly ($P < 0.01$) higher than Pekin ducks fed diet B. While, local and cross-breed ducks fed diet A were significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher in body weight than ducks local and cross-breeds on diet B. There was an interaction between breed and diet on weight gain and final body weight. Pekin duck was who received diet A produced the highest weight gain and final body weight. The pekings duck were broiler breeds, while local breeds and cross breeds are laying types.

Carcass characteristic

The carcass characteristics of Pekin ducks, local ducks and cross-breed ducks were fed diets A and B and their interactions were presented in Table 3. Carcass percentage was significantly ($P < 0.01$) influenced by breed type and diet

type. The percentage of Pekin duck carcasses that received diet A was significantly different ($P < 0.05$) from cross-breed ducks, but not significantly different from local ducks. Whereas, diet A produced a higher carcass percentage than diet B.

The percentage of meat was very significantly ($P < 0.01$) influenced by the type of diet and significantly ($P < 0.05$) was influenced by the type of breed. The percentage of thigh meat was significantly ($P < 0.01$) influenced by the type of diet. The percentage of breast meat was very significant ($P < 0.01$) influenced by diet type and significantly ($P < 0.05$) influenced by breed type. Pekin ducks that received diet A produced a higher percentage of breast meat than Pekin ducks that received diet B.

The Pekin ducks were known as broiler ducks which have been genetically enhanced to obtain higher meat yields and lower levels of carcass fat deposition (Fouad et al., 2018). In our study, final body weight diet feeds a ranged from 1.014 g to 1.500 g/bird. Similar results from the final body weight of duck by Kokoszynski et al. (2019a) and Starovic et al. (2021). The variation in duck body weight can be influenced by several factors, including feed, genetic value, and the environment. On the other hand, the difference in final weight gain that occurs between types of diets is mostly due to the different nutrient content, especially protein and energy. This was supported by Wen et al. (2017) and Liu et al. (2019) stated that the level of metabolic energy and crude protein in the ration affected the growth performance of Pekin ducks. On the other hand, other researchers like Murawski et al. (2012); Kokoszynski et al. (2015), and Kokoszynski et al. (2019a) revealed that final body weight of ducks was influenced by many factors such as duck strain, sex, age, chemical composition of feed, and feeding including a total of feed intake.

The consumption of feed A was 2.30 - 3.01 g/bird while the consumption of feed B was higher at 3.11 - 3.16 gr/bird. Ducks can regulate total consumption of feed according to the amount of energy needed by the body. Ducks also will consume more feed when receiving a low-energy diet compared to a high-energy diet. While diet A contains higher energy (Table 1) than diet B, so the ratio consumption is lowest. The results of this study were almost the same as those of Wen et al. (2017) who reported that increasing ration energy can reduce feed intake and feed/gain. Liu et al. (2019) stated that feed consumption and feed conversion of Pekin ducks decreased linearly with increasing metabolizable energy (ME) crude protein. The average consumption of the Pekin duck ration in this study was 3.14 g or 112.18 g/day, slightly lower than the results of the study by Kokoszynski et al. (2019a) the consumption of ducks aged 1-49 days was 123.2 g/day.

The results of our study, carcass percentage ranged from 50-57% Diet A, and 49-52% Diet B. meanwhile other authors have found higher carcass percentages in commercial Pekin ducks (Sun et al., 2014; Baltic et al., 2017; Kokoszynski et al., 2019a; Kokoszynski et al., 2019b; Kokoszynski et al., 2020). The results of our study showed that the abdominal fat content of feed A was 1.41% on average while in feed B was 0.39%, the difference was due to variations in different nutritional content, like protein and fiber. Meanwhile, Wen et al. (2017) reported that the percentage of duck belly fat will decrease as the protein content in the feed increases. This illustrates that the level of protein content in the feed has an impact on the duck's abdominal fat. In addition, the increase in crude fiber content in the feed also resulted in a decrease in the length of the small intestine, along with an increase in the relative weight of the proventriculus and gizzard (Freitas et al., 2014; Yokhana et al., 2016).

Table 2- Performances Pekin duck, Local duck, and Crossbred duck were given diets feed differences

Variable	Diet A			Diet B			SEM	P-value		
	Pekin	Local duck	Cross breed	Pekin	Local duck	Cross breed		D	B	D×B
Initial BW 14 days (g/bird)	363 ^d	210 ^a	299 ^{bcd}	338 ^{cd}	249 ^{ab}	277 ^{abc}	13.80	ns	**	ns
Feed Consumption/FC (g/bird)	3,077	2,399	2,918	3,164	3,118	3,161	78.90	**	*	ns
Weight Gain/WB (g/4 weeks)	1,136 ^c	803 ^{ba}	853 ^b	581 ^a	582 ^a	581 ^a	51.59	**	**	**
Feed conversion ratio (FCR)	2.75 ^a	3.02 ^a	3.41 ^A	5.44 ^b	5.36 ^b	5.45 ^b	0.29	**	ns	ns
Final body weight (g/bird)	1,500 ^c	1,014 ^{ab}	1,196 ^b	920 ^a	831 ^a	458 ^a	58.84	**	**	**

D = Diet factor; B = Duck breed factor; D×B = interaction between diet and duck breed factors. Within a row, means with a different superscript letter significantly differ a, b: $P < 0.05$; A, B: $P < 0.01$; ns = no significance ($P > 0.05$); *: $P < 0.05$; **: $P < 0.01$.

Table 3- Carcass characteristics Pekin duck, Local duck, and Crossbred duck were given diets feed differences

Variable	Diet A			Diet B			SEM	P-value		
	Pekin	Local duck	Cross breed	Pekin	Local duck	Cross breed		D	B	D×B
Carcass (%)	57.41 ^c	54.96 ^{abc}	50.86 ^{ab}	52.76 ^{abc}	49.85 ^a	49.02 ^a	0.81	**	**	ns
Abdominal fat (%)	1.48	1.57	1.20	0.53	0.30	0.36	0.15	**	ns	ns
Meat:Slaughter weight (%)	30.88 ^b	28.66 ^{ab}	26.14 ^{ab}	25.83 ^{ab}	23.45 ^a	24.74 ^a	0.67	**	*	ns
Thigh meat in Carcass (%)	20.91 ^a	22.89 ^{ab}	22.14 ^{ab}	24.13 ^{ab}	24.30 ^{ab}	26.41 ^b	0.52	**	ns	ns
Breast meat in carcass (%)	19.17 ^b	14.70 ^{ab}	16.49 ^{ab}	12.04 ^a	10.55 ^a	42.63 ^a	0.79	**	*	ns

D = Diet factor; B = Duck breed factor; D×B = interaction between diet and duck breed factors. Within a row, means with a different superscript letter significantly differ (a, b: $P < 0.05$; A, B: $P < 0.01$); ns = no significance ($P > 0.05$); *: $P < 0.05$; **: $P < 0.01$.

CONCLUSION

Based on the description of the results and discussion, In conclusion it was showed the feed conversion rate and final body weight for Diet A were better than Diet B (high protein and high fiber) on the Pekin duck. Meanwhile, the carcass characteristics like abdominal fat of Diet B (for Pekin, local duck, and cross breed) were better than Diet A (for Pekin and local duck). It's suggested to use Pekin ducks with low protein and low fiber diet to get the better performance, in compared with local ducks which needs high protein and high fiber content in diet.

DECLARATIONS

Corresponding Author

Aam Gunawan; E-mail: aamgunawan@yahoo.com; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5208-1437>

Acknowledgments

The research was financially support from APBU Universitas Islam Kalimantan (UNISKA) MAB with grant number 45/UNISKA-PUSLIT/II/2022.

Authors' contributions

A. Gunawan designed of the research; A. Malik drafted the manuscript; Dharmawati, Kartika, Wulandari and Saprani collected of the data and done the statistical analysis.

Competing Interest

All authors have no competing interest in the research.

REFERENCES

- Ali MS, Yang HS, Jeong JY, Moon SH, Hwang YH and Park GB (2008). Effect of chilling temperature of carcass on breast meat quality of duck. *Poultry Science*, 87: 1860-1867. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2007-00194>
- Baltic MZ, Dokmanovic Starčević M, Bašić M, Zenunovic A, Ivanovic J and Markovic R (2017). Effects of dietary selenium-yeast concentrations on growth performance and carcass composition of ducks. *Animal Production Science*, 57(8): 1731-1737. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1071/AN16126>
- Blair R (2008). Integrating feeding programmes into organic production systems. In *nutrition and feeding of organic poultry*. CABI, Wallingford, UK. pp. 272-305. <https://www.cabdigitalibrary.org/doi/abs/10.1079/9781845934064.0272>
- Bugiwati SRA, Dagong MIA and Rahim L (2021). Comparison of carcass and non-carcass characteristics of Local and Pekin ducks. 2nd Biennial Conference of Tropical Biodiversity. IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 886:012053. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/886/1/012053>
- Fouad AM, Ruan D, Wang S, Chen W, Xia W and Zheng C (2018). Nutritional requirements of meat-type and egg-type ducks: what do we know?. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*, 9(1): 1-11. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-017-0217-x>
- Freitas ER, de Melo Braz N, Watanabe PH, Cruz CEB, do Nascimento GAJ and Bezerra RM (2014). Fiber level for laying hens during the growing phase. *Ciencia e Agrotecnologia*. 38(2): 188-198. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1413-70542014000200010>
- Kokoszynski D, Arpasova H, Hmcar C, Zochowska-Kujawska J, Kotowicz M and Sobczak M (2020). Carcass characteristics, chemical composition, physicochemical properties, texture, and microstructure of meat from spent Pekin ducks. *Poultry Science*, 99: 1232–1240. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2019.09.003>
- Kokoszynski D, M Saleh, Z Bernacki, T Topolinski, M Andryszczyk and M Wirwicki (2019b). Growth performance, carcass composition, leg bones, and digestive system characteristics in Pekin duck broilers fed a diet diluted with whole wheat grain. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*, 99: 781–791. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjas-2018-0164>
- Kokoszynski D, Wasilewski R, Stęczny K, Bernacki Z, Kaczmarek K, Saleh M, Wasilewski PD, and Biegñiewska M (2015). Comparison of growth performance and meat traits in Pekin ducks from different genotypes. *European Poultry Science*. 79: 1 - 11. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1399/eps.2015.110>
- Kokoszynski D, Wasilewski R, Stęczny K, Kotowicz M, Hmcar C and Arpasova H (2019a). Carcass composition and selected meat quality traits of Pekin ducks from genetic resources flocks. *Poultry Science*, 98(7): 3029-3039. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3382/ps/pez073>
- Kwon HJ, YK Choo, Yi Choi, EJ Kim, HK Kim, KN Heo, HC Choi, SK Lee, CJ Kim, BG Kim, CW Kang and BK An (2014). Carcass characteristics and meat quality of Korean native ducks and commercial meat-type ducks raised under same feeding and rearing conditions. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*. 27(11): 1638-1643. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2014.14191>
- Liu JB, HL Yan, Y Zhang, YD Hu and HF Zhang (2019). Effects of dietary energy and protein content and lipid source on growth performance and carcass traits in Pekin ducks. *Poultry Science*, 98(10): 4829-4837. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pez217>

- Murawska D (2012). The effect of age on the growth rate of tissues and organs and the percentage content of edible and nonedible carcass components in Pekin ducks. *Poultry Science*, 91(8): 2030-2038. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2011-02083>
- Naveen Z, Naik BR, Subramanyam BV and Reddy PM (2016). Studies on the quality of duck meat sausages during refrigeration. *SpringerPlus*, 5: 2061-2075. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-3743-7>
- NRC. 1994. *Nutrient Requirements of Poultry*. Ninth Revised Edition. Washington, D.C: National Academy Press.
- Nurkhoeriyati T, Huda N and Ahmad R (2012). Physicochemical properties and sensory analysis of duck meatballs containing duck meat surimi-like material during frozen storage. *Journal of Food Science*, 71(1): 591-598. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-3841.2011.02519.x>
- Qiao Y, Huang J, Chen Y, Chen H, Zhao L, Huang M and Zhou G (2017). Meat quality, fatty acid composition and sensory evaluation of Cherry Valley, Spent Layer and Crossbred ducks. *Animal Science Journal*, 88(1): 156-165. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/asj.12588>
- Rahman MM, Khan MJ and Chowdhury SD (2014). Effect of feed supplementation on chemical composition of meat of three genotypes of scavenging ducklings in coastal areas of Bangladesh. *Bangladesh Journal of Animal Science*, 43(1): 25-29. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3329/bjas.v43i1.19381>
- Smith DP, Northcutt JK, Qudsieh RI and Parisi MA (2015). Effect of strain on duck breast meat quality. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research*, 24(3): 401-407. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3382/japr/pfv031>
- Starovic M, H Mahmutovic, N Glamoclija, M Basic, R Andjelkovic, R Mitrovic, R Markovic, J Janjic, M Boskovic, and MZ Baltic (2021). Growth performance, carcass characteristics, and selected meat quality traits of two strains of Pekin duck reared in intensive vs semi-intensive housing systems. *Animal*. *The International Journal of Animal Biosciences*, 15(2): 1-8. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.animal.2020.100087>
- Steel, RGD and JH Torrie (1982). *Principle and Procedure of Statistics: A Biometrical Approach* Second Edition Mc. Graw – hill International Book Co. Tokyo.
- Subhan A, Hamdan A, Hilmawan F, Qomariah R, Amin M and Roahaeni ES (2022). Improvement of Breeding Management towards Productivity of Alabio Duck in Swamp Land, South Kalimantan. In *International Conference on Improving Tropical Animal Production for Food Security (ITAPS 2021)*, 2022 Mar 29, Atlantis Press, pp. 40-45. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2991/absr.k.220309.009>
- Udayana ID, Mahardika IG, Sumadi IK, Budaarsa K and Bidura IG (2020). Effect of energy-protein ratio in diets on Balinese duck (*Anas sp*) performance and feed digestibility. *International Journal Fauna and Biological Studies*, 7:41-46. <https://www.faunajournal.com/archives/2020/vol7issue1/PartA/7-1-16-220.pdf>
- Wang SP, Wang WJ, Yang DS, Zhao XL, Luo DM and Guo YB (2017). Growth, carcass, and physiological traits of growing male China Micro-ducks fed various levels of dietary crude fiber. *Czech Journal of Animal Science*. 62(8): 347-356. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17221/5/2017-CJAS>
- Wen ZG, TJ Rasolofomanana, J Tang, Y Jiang, M Xie, P L Yang and SS Hou (2017). Effects of dietary energy and lysine levels on growth performance and carcass yields of Pekin ducks from hatch to 21 days of age. *Poultry Science*, 96(9): 3361-3366. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pex122>
- Yokhana JS, Parkinson G and Frankel TL (2016). Effect of insoluble fiber supplementation applied at different ages on digestive organ weight and digestive enzymes of layer-strain poultry. *Poultry Science*, 95: 550-559. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pev336>
- Zdanowska-Sasiadek Ż, Michalczuk M, Marcinkowska-Lesiak M and Damaziak K (2013). Factors shaping the sensory characteristics of poultry meat. *Bromatologia i Chemia Toksykologiczna*. 46(3): 344-353. (in Polish)

THE EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT FEEDING CONDITIONS ON PERFORMANCE AND CARCASS CHARACTERISTICS OF PEKIN, LOCAL, AND CROSSBRED DUCKS

ORIGINALITY REPORT

19%

SIMILARITY INDEX

17%

INTERNET SOURCES

13%

PUBLICATIONS

2%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	ipsa-cari.org.in Internet Source	1%
2	worldwidescience.org Internet Source	1%
3	animalproduction.net Internet Source	1%
4	www.ajol.info Internet Source	1%
5	academic.oup.com Internet Source	1%
6	repo.unand.ac.id Internet Source	1%
7	jwpr.science-line.com Internet Source	1%
8	medpub.litbang.pertanian.go.id Internet Source	1%

researcherslinks.com

9	Internet Source	1 %
10	www.agriculturejournals.cz Internet Source	1 %
11	www.e-sciencecentral.org Internet Source	1 %
12	M Inayat, F Abbas, MH Rehman, A Mahmud. "Physico-Chemical Parameters, Oxidative Stress, and Fatty Acid Profile of American Pekin Ducks (<i>Anas Platyrhynchos Domesticus</i>) Raised under Different Production Systems", <i>Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science</i> , 2023 Publication	1 %
13	bioone.org Internet Source	1 %
14	ijlr.org Internet Source	1 %
15	www.mdpi.com Internet Source	1 %
16	link.springer.com Internet Source	<1 %
17	Submitted to Universitas Mataram Student Paper	<1 %
18	W Q Bai, K Y Zhang, X M Ding, S P Bai, J P Wang, H W Peng, Q F Zeng. "High dietary	<1 %

energy content increases inflammatory markers after lipopolysaccharide challenge in meat ducks", Poultry Science, 2018

Publication

19

media.neliti.com

Internet Source

<1 %

20

Jakub Biesek, Mirosław Banaszak, Joanna Kuźniacka, Marek Adamski. "Characteristics of carcass and physicochemical traits of meat from male and female ducks fed a diet based on extruded soybean", Poultry Science, 2021

Publication

<1 %

21

erepo.unud.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

22

typeset.io

Internet Source

<1 %

23

www.european-poultry-science.com

Internet Source

<1 %

24

repository.unhas.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

25

www.thefreelibrary.com

Internet Source

<1 %

26

ojs3.unpatti.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

27

publikasi.polije.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

28	repository.ub.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
29	docs.wixstatic.com Internet Source	<1 %
30	repository.ipb.ac.id:8080 Internet Source	<1 %
31	springerplus.springeropen.com Internet Source	<1 %
32	www.animbiosci.org Internet Source	<1 %
33	H. G. Rodrigues, Y. S. Diniz, L. A. Faine, C. M. Galhardi, R. C. Burneiko, J. A. Almeida, B. O. Ribas, >E. L. B. Novelli. "Antioxidant effect of saponin: potential action of a soybean flavonoid on glucose tolerance and risk factors for atherosclerosis", International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition, 2009 Publication	<1 %
34	Submitted to Nottingham Trent University Student Paper	<1 %
35	ONBAŞILAR, Esin Ebru, ERDEM, Evren, HACAN, Özlem and KOCAKAYA, Afşin. "Effect of width and depth of bell drinker and sex on fattening performance and carcass characteristics of pekin ducks", Kafkas Üniversitesi, 2013. Publication	<1 %

36

www.ejmanager.com

Internet Source

<1 %

37

www.wpsa.fr

Internet Source

<1 %

38

"More than Beef, Pork and Chicken – The Production, Processing, and Quality Traits of Other Sources of Meat for Human Diet", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019

Publication

<1 %

39

Gad H. A., M. EL-Shhat, Basma M Hamed. "THE EFFECT OF ADDITION OF CHAMOMILE FLOWER TO SUDANI DUCKLING DIETS DURING GROWING PERIOD ON GROWTH PERFORMANCE, BLOOD PARAMETERS AND ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY", Egyptian Poultry Science Journal, 2018

Publication

<1 %

40

Marcin Barszcz, Anna Tuśnio, Marcin Taciak. "Poultry nutrition", Physical Sciences Reviews, 2022

Publication

<1 %

41

H. Bai, B. Yang, Z. Dong, X. Li, Q. Song, Y. Jiang, G. Chang, G. Chen. "Research Note: Effects of cage and floor rearing systems on growth performance, carcass traits and meat

<1 %

quality in small-sized meat ducks", Poultry Science, 2021

Publication

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On