[OJAFR] Submission Acknowledgement

Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research <chief-editor@ojafr-scienceline.com>

Wed, Oct 26, 2022, at 11:02 AM

To: Aam Gunawan < aamgunawan@yahoo.com>

Aam Gunawan:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "*The effects of different feeding conditions on performance and carcass characteristics of pekin, local, and crossbred ducks*" to Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research.

With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: <u>https://ojafr-scienceline.com/make-a-submission/</u>Username: aamgunawan

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

[OJAFR] Revision request

Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research <chief-editor@ojafr-scienceline.com>

Mon, Dec 05, 2022, at 12:19 PM

To: Aam Gunawan < aamgunawan@yahoo.com>

Aam Gunawan:

The paper "The effects of different feeding conditions on performance and carcass characteristics of pekin, local, and crossbred ducks" has been preliminarily reviewed.

Reviewers have given their comments on your paper. Please do the following when you resubmit your revised version:

- (i) All corrections as per the reviewers 'comments and prepare a table / response letter showing corrections done. Your corrections will not be accepted in the absence of this response letter / table.
- (ii) All authors' names, emails and affiliations checked and corrected

Please ensure the submission of the revision within 1 month of receiving this mail either both as a reply to this mail and in the online system.

The paper can be resubmitted for a review after huge improvements, and this does not guarantee it will be approved.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research

Reviewer A

This study focuses on assessing the performance and carcass quality of different duck breeds under varying dietary conditions. Here are some suggestions for authors.

- 1. The objectives of the study must be explicitly stated, outlining the aim to evaluate the impact of different diets on Pekin, local, and crossbreed ducks.
- 2. The methods section is somewhat limited in detail. While it mentions the sample size, breed types, and experimental design, it lacks information on the specifics of the diets used, feeding duration, and any additional management practices. Including a brief overview of these aspects would offer readers a clearer understanding of the study's implementation.
- 3. In terms of results, the authors highlight significant differences in initial body weight, feed consumption, and carcass percentage among the duck breeds and dietary groups. However, it would be beneficial to include some quantitative data or trends to illustrate the magnitude of these differences. This would provide readers with a better grasp of the practical implications of the study's findings.
- 4. The conclusion must be provided, presenting a succinct summary of the study's outcomes, emphasizing the importance of tailored feeding strategies for different duck breeds. It should underscore the need for optimal growth and carcass quality while considering specific dietary requirements.
- 5. The choice of keywords is appropriate and relevant to the study's focus. However, the addition of a few more specific terms, could further enhance the searchability and discoverability of the study.

Reviewer B

It is a very well-written research work. Here are some suggestions for authors particularly linked with methods:

- 1. The experimental design must be well-described, including the sample size, breed types, and cage setup. This section might also benefit from specifying how many replicates were used for each treatment. The composition of Diet A and Diet B must be provided in detail.
- 2. The statistical analysis section should be clear and appropriate, detailing the use of the GLM procedure and the Tukey test for significance testing. It's recommended to specify the dependent variables that were analyzed for significance (e.g., body weight, feed consumption, carcass characteristics).
- 3. The results should be presented clearly in tabular form, which may aids in understanding the differences among diets and breeds. The text could be more concise by not repeating the breed names and diet names within each cell of the table.
- 4. While references to other studies are mentioned, there is room for improvement in how these references are used. Instead of simply stating that other authors found similar results, provide a brief explanation of how those results relate to your findings or how your results may differ.
- 5. There must be a conclusion section that concisely summarizes the main findings of the study. The suggestion regarding using Pekin ducks with low protein and low fiber diets would be valuable to enhance the practical applicability of the findings.

[OJAFR] Revision Submission Acknowledgement

Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research <chief-editor@ojafr-scienceline.com>

Tue, Jan 10, 2023, at 10:52 AM

To: Aam Gunawan < aamgunawan@yahoo.com>

Aam Gunawan:

Thank you for submitting revision of the manuscript, "*The effects of different feeding conditions on performance and carcass characteristics of pekin, local, and crossbred ducks*" to Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research.

With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: <u>https://ojafr-scienceline.com/make-a-submission/</u> Username: aamgunawan

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

Response to Reviewer 1

Sr. No.	Reviewer's Comment	Response
	This study focuses on assessing the	Many thanks respected reviewer for
	performance and carcass quality of	your kind remarks and suggestions.
	different duck breeds under varying	
	dietary conditions. Here are some	
	suggestions for authors.	
1	The objectives of the study must be	Many thanks for your kind
	explicitly stated, outlining the aim to	suggestions. We have now explicitly
	evaluate the impact of different diets on	stated the study objectives, outlining the
	Pekin, local, and crossbreed ducks.	aim to evaluate the impact of different
		diets on Pekin, local, and crossbreed
		ducks.
		Please see pages 2-3
2	The methods section is somewhat limited	Dear reviewer, following your kind
	in detail. While it mentions the sample	guidelines. Done as suggested.
	size, breed types, and experimental design,	Please see pages 7-8
	it lacks information on the specifics of the	
	diets used, feeding duration, and any	
	additional management practices.	
	Including a brief overview of these aspects	
	would offer readers a clearer	
	understanding of the study's	
	implementation.	
3	In terms of results, the authors highlight	Dear reviewer, Thank you for your
	significant differences in initial body	kind suggestions. In the results section,
	weight, feed consumption, and carcass	we have now included some quantitative
	percentage among the duck breeds and	data or trends to illustrate the magnitude
	dietary groups. However, it would be	of these differences
	beneficial to include some quantitative	Please see pages 13-18
	data or trends to illustrate the magnitude	
	of these differences. This would provide	
	readers with a better grasp of the practical	

	implications of the study's findings.	
4	The conclusion must be provided,	Respected reviewer, Thank you.
	presenting a succinct summary of the	We have now added a conclusion
	study's outcomes, emphasizing the	following your kind suggestions.
	importance of tailored feeding strategies	Please see pages 19-20
	for different duck breeds. It should	
	underscore the need for optimal growth	
	and carcass quality while considering	
	specific dietary requirements.	
5	The choice of keywords is appropriate and	Thank you, dear reviewer. Done as
	relevant to the study's focus. However, the	suggested.
	addition of a few more specific terms,	Please see page no 1
	could further enhance the searchability	
	and discoverability of the study.	

Response to Reviewer 2

Sr. No.	Reviewer's Comment	Response
	It is a very well-written research work.	Thank you, dear reviewer.
	Here are some suggestions for authors	
	particularly linked with methods:	
1	The experimental design must be well-	Dear reviewer, done as suggested
	described, including the sample size, breed	Please see page 7-8
	types, and cage setup. This section might	
	also benefit from specifying how many	
	replicates were used for each treatment.	
	The composition of Diet A and Diet B	
	must be provided in detail.	
2	The statistical analysis section should be	Many thanks. We have now revised the
	clear and appropriate, detailing the use of	statistical analysis and added tests
	the GLM procedure and the Tukey test for	sectionas suggested.
	significance testing. It's recommended to	Please see pages 13-16
	specify the dependent variables that were	
	analyzed for significance (e.g., body	

	weight, feed consumption, carcass	
	characteristics).	
3	The results should be presented clearly in	Dear reviewer, we have now presented
	tabular form, which may aids in	the results clearly in tabular form. The
	understanding the differences among diets	text is now more concise and effective
	and breeds. The text could be more concise	as suggested.
	by not repeating the breed names and diet	Please see pages 14-18
	names within each cell of the table.	
4	While references to other studies are	Dear reviewer, Thank you.
	mentioned, there is room for improvement	Done as suggested.
	in how these references are used. Instead	
	of simply stating that other authors found	
	similar results, provide a brief explanation	
	of how those results relate to your findings	
	or how your results may differ.	
5	There must be a conclusion section that	Done, dear reviewer as suggested.
	concisely summarizes the main findings of	Please see pages 19-20
	the study. The suggestion regarding using	
	Pekin ducks with low protein and low fiber	
	diets would be valuable to enhance the	
	practical applicability of the findings.	

[OJAFR] Revision request

Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research <chief-editor@ojafr-scienceline.com>

Sat, Feb 18, 2023, at 9:49 AM

To: Aam Gunawan < aamgunawan@yahoo.com>

Aam Gunawan:

The paper "The effects of different feeding conditions on performance and carcass characteristics of pekin, local, and crossbred ducks" has been reviewed again.

The authors have done a very good job while revising the manuscript. However, here are some more suggestions.

- The parameters measured and recorded should be appropriate in line with the study objectives. The methods section could be enhanced by explaining how the carcass qualities were measured in more detail.
- The inclusion of ethical approval is important, and providing the approval number adds credibility to the study.
- The presentation of carcass characteristics in tables is helpful. However, the description of the results could be improved by providing more context.

Please ensure the submission of the revision within 1 month of receiving this mail either both as a reply to this mail and in the online system.

The paper can be resubmitted for a review after huge improvements, and this does not guarantee it will be approved.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

[OJAFR] Revision Submission Acknowledgement

Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research <chief-editor@ojafr-scienceline.com>

Sat, Mar 25, 2023, at 1:44 PM

To: Aam Gunawan < aamgunawan@yahoo.com>

Aam Gunawan:

Thank you for submitting revision of the manuscript, "*The effects of different feeding conditions on performance and carcass characteristics of pekin, local, and crossbred ducks*" to Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research.

With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: <u>https://ojafr-scienceline.com/make-a-submission/</u> Username: aamgunawan

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

Response to Reviewers

Sr. No.	Reviewer's Comment	Response
	The authors have done a very good job	Many thanks, Respected Reviewer.
	while revising the manuscript. However,	
	here are some more suggestions.	
1	The parameters measured and recorded	Many thanks, done as suggested by
	should be appropriate in line with the study	your kind self.
	objectives. The methods section could be	Please see page 13
	enhanced by explaining how the carcass	
	qualities were measured in more detail.	
2	The inclusion of ethical approval is	Dear reviewer, done as suggested by
	important, and providing the approval	your kind self.
	number adds credibility to the study.	Please see page 11
3	The presentation of carcass characteristics	Dear reviewer, Thank you for your
	in tables is helpful. However, the	kind suggestions. Done as suggested.
	description of the results could be	Please see pages 14-18
	improved by providing more context.	

[OJAFR] Acceptance Acknowledgment

Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research <chief-editor@ojafr-scienceline.com>

Sun, Mar 26, 2023, at 11:39 AM

To: Aam Gunawan <aamgunawan@yahoo.com>

Aam Gunawan:

Congratulations!

Your paper entitled, "*The effects of different feeding conditions on performance and carcass characteristics of pekin, local, and crossbred ducks*" has been accepted for publication in Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research (Vol. 13 No. 2, 2023).

Thank you for your interest in our journal. Your Journal paper would be indexed in Scopus (Elsevier), Google Scholar, Scirus, GetCited, Scribd, so on. We look forward to receiving your subsequent research papers.

Editor in Chief
